GPB—15,000—20 12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1900-1901
191?
(Mulrai)

File No. 87

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Declining to sanction an Estimate for Rs 226 for ~~fo~~ railing a part of the road on an embankment at Chunchinkatte & also for Constructing Steps from road to the Dharmasala at the place -

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 25-4-01
REG. No. 676
MUZRAI BRANCH

~~189~~ 1900-1901

1900-1901

From The Dy. Commissioner

To Mysore Dist.
The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Muzrai Dept.

Dated 23rd April 1901.

Despatched

Received 24th April 1901.

General No. 172
No.

Enclosure No. 1

I have the honour to forward herewith, for Sanction an Estimate for Rs 226/- for raising a part of the road on an Embankment at Chunchankatte by way of protection to Ker. -tons and Cattle passing at nights, and for Constructing

Subd to the Dewan

The work seems necessary, but the question whether the the cost can be properly charged to Muzrai Funds. 6/5/01

~~Sanctioned from Temple Surplus Funds.~~

Work and ascertain whether the work in question has any connection with the temples, and whether the work is a proper charge on Muzrai Funds. 12/5/01

Vide no 1209 dy 14-5-01.

MUZRAI

~~189~~ 1901 -

From

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District -

Dated 14th May 1901.

Despatched 15th

Received

General No. 1259

Muzrai F. No. 108-93

Enclosure No. -1-

His Docket No. 172, dated 23rd April 1901, submitting for sanction an Estimate amounting to Rs 226/- for raising a part of the road on an embankment at Chunchinkatte and also for constructing steps from that road to the Musafirkhana at the same place, and proposing that the charge ~~may~~ might be met from the funds of the Sri Rama Devaru temple at that place.

Has the honor to enquire whether the works in question have any connection with the temple so as to justify

J

~~189~~ 1900-1901.

FROM

the Dy Commissioner Mysore District

To

The Dy Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 4th June

Despatched 5th June Muzrai Dept.

Received 6 1901

General No. 192

No.

Enclosure No. 1.

Submitted for orders

Rs

10/6/01

This does not give a proper reason for charging the work to Temple funds.

~~Write again for further info~~

Ill 10/6/01

Submit to the Dewan with a draft. Ill 18/6/01

Approve sanction. The funds which are intended to be provided under this head should be utilized for providing facilities for drinking water

17/VIII.01

I enclose herewith Rs 1209 - 108-93 of the 14th instant.

I hereby certify that the Lower Landing that the Muzrai Channel at Channarayapatna is used chiefly by the Hindu public and Channarayaswami Temple at that place, and that it is for their

[illegible]

accordingly returned herewith unsanctioned.

By order
Dewan's Order 4.11.1901
Dy Secretary

MUZRAI

1901

From

To The Dy Commr.
Mysore District

Dated 21st August 1901.

Despatched

Received

General No. 269
Muzrai F. No. 108-93

Enclosure No. 1

His Docket No 192
dated 24th June 1901, re-submitting for sanction, an Estimate amounting to Rs 226-- for railing a part of the road on an embankment at Chunchanakatte and also for constructing steps from the road to the Musafirkhana at the place, and

requesting that the
cost of the Estimate
maybe met from the
funds of the Sri Rama
Devaru temple, because
the Musafirkhana is
used by the pilgrims
resorting to the Insta.

The Dy Comrs recommendation
does not give a proper
reason for charging the
work to Temple Funds.
The ~~Casual~~ Estimate
cannot therefore be sanctioned.
The funds which contributed
to the erection of the Musafirkhana
must also contribute
for providing facilities
for going there.
2. The Estimate